Homework 10 Geometry

Joshua Ruiter

April 8, 2018

Proposition 0.1 (Exercise 15-1). Let M be a smooth manifold that is the union of two orientable open submanifolds with connected intersection. Then M is orientable.

Proof. Let $M = A \cup B$ where A, B are orientable open submanifolds with $A \cap B$ connected. Let \mathcal{O}^A be an orientation for A. If $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, choose $p \in A \cap B$ and let \mathcal{O}^B be an orientation for B such that $\mathcal{O}_p^B = \mathcal{O}_p^A$; if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then let \mathcal{O}^B be any orientation for B. Then \mathcal{O}^A and \mathcal{O}^B induce orientations on $A \cap B$, which is connected, so by Proposition 15.9, $\mathcal{O}_x^A = \mathcal{O}_x^B$ for $x \in A \cap B$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_x^M = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_x^A & x \in A \\ \mathcal{O}_x^B & x \in B \end{cases}$$

is well defined since they agree on the overlap. It is continuous since \mathcal{O}^A and \mathcal{O}^B are continuous, using the Gluing Lemma. Thus \mathcal{O}^M is an orientation for M.

Corollary 0.2 (Exercise 15-1). S^n is orientable.

Proof. We can cover S^n with two open charts, the stereographic projection omitting the north pole and its counterpart omitting the south pole. These charts are diffeomorphisms, so $S^n \setminus \{N\}$ is orientable by pulling back the standard orientation from \mathbb{R}^n . Likewise, $S^n \setminus \{S\}$ is orientable. The charts have connected intersection, so by the above proposition S^n is orientable.

Proposition 0.3 (Exercise 15-2). Let M be a smooth n-manifold. Then TM is orientable.

Proof. Let $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ be a countable collection of smooth charts covering M. Let $\pi: TM \to M$ be the canonical projection, and let $(\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha}), \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha})$ be the usual charts for TM (defined on page 66 of Lee). We claim that the Jacobian determinant of the transition map $\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is positive for any α, β . Let (x^1, \ldots, x^n) and (y^1, \ldots, y^n) be coordinate functions for ϕ_{α} and ϕ_{β} respectively. Let p be a point in the domain of our transition map. Then we can write $v \in T_pM$ as

$$v = v^j \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right|_p$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n) = \left(y^1, \dots, y^n, v^j \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^j}, \dots, v^j \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^j}\right)$$

If we denote $\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ by F, then the Jacobian of F is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial x^n} & \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial v^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial v^n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial x^n} & \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial v^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial v^n} \\ \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial x^n} & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial v^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial v^n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial x^n} & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial v^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial v^n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^n} \end{bmatrix}$$

This is just a block diagonal matrix with the Jacobian of the transition function $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ occurring twice, so the Jacobian determinant of $\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is the square of the Jacobian determinant of $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$. Since $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is a diffeomorphism, its Jacobian determinant is always nonzero, so the square is always positive. Thus TM has a consistently oriented smooth atlas, so by Proposition 15.6 in Lee, TM is orientable.

Proposition 0.4 (Exercise 15-2). Let M be a smooth n-manifold. Then T^*M is orientable. Proof. The argument is very similar to that for TM. Let $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ be a countable covering of M, $\pi: T^*M \to M$ be the projection, and $(\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha}), \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha})$ be the usual charts on T^*M :

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}(\xi_i dx^i|_p) = (\phi_{\alpha}(p), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$$

As above, we will show that the Jacobian determinant of the transition map $\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is always positive. Let (x^1, \ldots, x^n) and (y^1, \ldots, y^n) be coordinate functions for ϕ_{α} and ϕ_{β} respectively. Let p be a point in the domain of our transition map. Then

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(x^1, \dots, x^n, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) = \left(y^1, \dots, y^n, \xi^j \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^j}, \dots, \xi^j \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^j}\right)$$

Thus the Jacobian of $F = \widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F^{1}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{1}}{\partial x^{n}} & \frac{\partial F^{1}}{\partial \xi^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{1}}{\partial \xi^{n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F^{n}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{n}}{\partial x^{n}} & \frac{\partial F^{n}}{\partial \xi^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{n}}{\partial \xi^{n}} \\ \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial x^{n}} & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial \xi^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{n+1}}{\partial \xi^{n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial x^{n}} & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial \xi^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F^{2n}}{\partial \xi^{n}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y^{1}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{1}}{\partial x^{n}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^{n}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{n}}{\partial x^{n}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial y^{1}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{1}}{\partial x^{n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial y^{n}}{\partial x^{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{n}}{\partial x^{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

This is just a block diagonal matrix with the Jacobian of the transition function $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ occurring twice, so the Jacobian determinant of $\widetilde{\phi}_{\beta} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is the square of the Jacobian determinant of $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$. Since $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is a diffeomorphism, its Jacobian determinant is always nonzero, so the square is always positive. Thus T^*M has a consistently oriented smooth atlas, so by Proposition 15.6 in Lee, T^*M is orientable.

Proposition 0.5 (Exercise 16-2). Let $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ denote the 2-torus, defined as

$$\{(w, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : w^2 + x^2 = y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$$

with the product orientation determined by the standard orientation on S^1 . Let

$$\omega = xyz \ dw \wedge dy$$

Then

$$\int_{T^2} \omega = 0$$

Proof. We parametrize T^2 by $F:(0,2\pi)\times(0,2\pi)\to T^2$ given by $F(\theta,\phi)=(\cos\theta,\sin\theta,\cos\phi,\sin\phi)$. Then F is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism, and the image of F contains all but a set of measure zero. Using Proposition 16.8,

$$\int_{T^2} \omega = \int_{(0,2\pi)\times(0,2\pi)} F^*\omega$$

We first compute $F^*\omega$.

$$F^*\omega = F^*(xyz \ dw \land dy) = \sin\theta\cos\phi\sin\phi \ d(\cos\theta) \land d(\cos\phi)$$
$$= \sin^2\theta\cos\phi\sin^2\phi \ d\theta \land d\phi$$

And now we can compute the integral.

$$\int_{T^2} \omega = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta \cos \phi \sin^2 \phi \ d\theta d\phi = 0$$

Since we can compute using the *u*-substition $u = \sin \phi$.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cos\phi \sin^2\phi \, d\phi = \int u^2 du = \left. \frac{\sin^3\phi}{3} \right|_0^{2\pi} = 0$$

Proposition 0.6 (Exercise 16-4). Let M be a compact oriented smooth n-manifold with boundary. There is no continuous retract of M onto its boundary.

Proof. Suppose there is a continuous retract $\psi: M \to \partial M$. Then by Theorem 6.26, ψ is homotopic to a smooth map ϕ , so there is a smooth retract $\phi: M \to \partial M_{\dot{\iota}}$. (That is, ϕ is a smooth map with $\phi|_{\partial M} = \operatorname{id}|_{\partial M}$.) Let η be an orientation form on ∂M (so η is an (n-1)-form). Then $\phi^*\eta$ is a (n-1)-form on M. Let $\iota: \partial M \to M$ be the inclusion. Then by Stokes's Theorem

$$\int_{M} d(\phi^* \eta) = \int_{\partial M} \iota^*(\phi^* \eta) = \int_{\partial M} (\phi \circ \iota)^* \eta = \int_{\partial M} \eta > 0$$

using Proposition 16.6(c). On the other hand, since η is a top degree form on ∂M , $d\eta = 0$, so

$$\int_{M} d(\phi^* \eta) = \int_{M} \phi^* (d\eta) = 0$$

This is a contradiction, so no such smooth retract exists. Hence no continuous retract exists, since a continuous retract induces a smooth retract.

Proposition 0.7 (Exercise 16-5). Let M, N be oriented, compact, connected, smooth manifolds and $F, G: M \to N$ be homotopic diffeomorphisms. Then F, G are either both orientation preserving or both orientation reversing.

Proof. By Theorem 6.29, since F, G are homotopic and both are smooth, there is a smooth homotopy $H: M \times I \to N$ with H(p,0) = F(p) and H(p,1) = G(p). (Note: I denotes the unit interval [0,1]). Let ω be an orientation form on N. Let $\iota: \partial(M \times I) \to M \times I$ be the inclusion. Then using Stokes's Theorem,

$$\int_{M \times I} d(H^* \omega) = \int_{\partial (M \times i)} \iota^*(H^*(\omega)) \tag{0.1}$$

First we simplify the LHS of (0.1). Since ω is an orientation form, $d\omega = 0$. Using the fact that pullback commutes with the exterior derivative,

LHS =
$$\int_{M \times I} d(H^*\omega) = \int_{M \times I} H^*(d\omega) = 0$$

Now we simplify the RHS of (0.1).

RHS =
$$\int_{\partial M \times I} \iota^*(H^*\omega)) = \int_{\partial (M \times I)} (H \circ \iota)^*\omega$$

Note that $\partial(M \times I)$ consists of $(M \times \{0\}) \cup (M \times \{1\})$, so we can split the integral into two. After splitting it, we rewrite by unwinding the definition of pullback.

RHS =
$$\int_{\partial(M\times I)} (H \circ \iota)^* \omega = \int_{M\times\{0\}} (H \circ \iota)^* \omega + \int_{M\times\{1\}} (H \circ \iota)^* \omega$$

= $\int_{M\times\{0\}} \omega(H \circ \iota(p,t)) + \int_{M\times\{1\}} \omega(H \circ \iota(p,t))$
= $\int_{M\times\{0\}} \omega(H(p,0)) + \int_{M\times\{1\}} \omega(H(p,1))$
= $\int_{M\times\{0\}} \omega(F(p)) + \int_{M\times\{1\}} \omega(G(p))$
= $\int_{M\times\{0\}} F^* \omega(p,0) + \int_{M\times\{1\}} G^* \omega(p,1)$

Now we would like to relate the quantities

$$\int_{M\times\{0\}} F^*\omega(p,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M F^*\omega(p)$$

and similarly for G. It is clear that $M \times \{0\}$ is diffeomorphic to M, so these two integrals are nearly identical, except that $M \times \{0\}$ may have a different orientation than M. Since the orientation for $M \times \{1\}$ comes from appending the outward pointing vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_p$,

 $M \times \{1\}$ has the same orientation as M. The orientation for $M \times \{0\}$ comes from appending the outward pointing vector field $-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_p$, so $M \times \{0\}$ has opposite orientation from M. Thus

$$\int_{M\times\{0\}} F^*\omega(p,0) = \int_{-M} F^*\omega(p) = -\int_M F^*\omega(p)$$
$$\int_{M\times\{1\}} G^*\omega(p,0) = \int_M G^*\omega(p)$$

Combining our computations for the RHS and LHS of (0.1), we have

$$\int_M F^*\omega = \int_M G^*\omega$$

From this we can easily show that F, G must both be orientation preserving or both orientation reversing. Suppose that F is orientation preserving and G is orientation reversing. Then by Proposition 16.6(d),

$$\int_{M} F^*\omega = \int_{N} \omega = -\int_{M} G^*\omega$$

which combined with our previous computation yields

$$\int_{N} \omega = 0$$

This contradicts Proposition 16.6(c), so F and G must have the same effect on the orientation.

Proposition 0.8 (Exercise 16-6). Let n be an integer and let S^n be the n-sphere embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The following are equivalent:

- 1. n is odd.
- 2. There exists a nowhere-vanishing smooth vector field on S^n .
- 3. There exists a continuous map $V: S^n \to S^n$ satisfying $V(x) \perp x$ (with respect to the Euclidean dot product on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) for all $x \in S^n$.
- 4. The antipodal map $\alpha: S^n \to S^n$ is homotopic to Id_{S^n} .
- 5. The antipodal map $\alpha: S^n \to S^n$ is orientation preserving.

Proof. Problem 9-4 says that there exists a nowhere-vanishing vector field on S^n for n odd, which gives $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$. Problem 15-3 says that the antipodal map is orientation preserving if and only if n is odd, which gives $(1) \Longleftrightarrow (5)$. Problem 16-5 says that if α is homotopic to Id_{S^n} then α is orientation preserving, since Id_{S^n} is obviously orientation preserving, so this is $(4) \Longrightarrow (5)$. So we just need to show $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$ and $(3) \Longrightarrow (4)$, then we will have

$$(1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (4) \implies (5) \implies (1)$$

which shows that all are equivalent. First we show (2) \implies (3). Let Y be a nowhere-vanishing smooth vector field on S^n . Then define $V: S^n \to S^n$ by

$$V(x) = \frac{Y_x}{\|Y_x\|}$$

Since Y_x is never the zero vector, it always has nonzero magnitude, and V(x) is always in S^n because $\frac{Y_x}{\|Y_x\|}$ is a unit vector by contruction. V is continuous since Y_x is a smooth vector field. Since Y_x is a vector field on S^n we have $Y_x \perp x$, and hence $V(x) \perp x$. Thus (2) \Longrightarrow (3).

Now we show (3) \Longrightarrow (4). Let α be the antipodal map and let $V: S^n \to S^n$ be a continuous map so that $V(x) \perp x$ for all $x \in S^n$. First, define $H: S^n \times [0,1] \to S^n$ by

$$H(x,t) = \frac{(1-t)\alpha(x) + tV(x)}{\|(1-t)\alpha(x) + tV(x)\|}$$

First, we need to check that the denominator is never zero. If it is, then

$$(1-t)(-x) + tV(x) = 0 \implies -x + xt + tV(x) = 0 \implies x = t(x+V(x))$$

which says that x + V(x) is in the span of x. Since $V(x) \perp x$, this is impossible, so H is well-defined. H is clearly continuous, as a composition of continuous functions, and $H(x,0) = \alpha(x)$ and H(x,1) = V(x), so V is homotopic to α . Now define $\widetilde{H}: S^n \times [0,1] \to S^n$ by

$$\widetilde{H}(x,t) = \frac{(1-t)x + tV(x)}{\|(1-t)x + tV(x)\|}$$

As before, if the denominator is zero,

$$(1-t)x + tV(x) = 0 \implies x - tx + tV(x) = 0 \implies tx = x + V(x)$$

which is impossible because $V(x) \perp x$. Thus \widetilde{H} is a homotopy from V to Id_{S^n} . Since homotopy equivalence of maps is an equivalence relation, α is homotopic to Id_{S^n} . Thus (3) \Longrightarrow (4). This completes the chain of needed implications, so all the statements are equivalent.

Corollary 0.9 (Hairy Ball Theorem). There exists a nowhere-vanishing smooth vector field on S^n if and only if n is odd.

Proof. This is $(1) \iff (2)$ from the above proposition.